HIRE
HUMAN-CONSEQUENCE GOVERNANCE

HIRE AI™ / HIRE Review™

Executive FAQ

25 questions organizations may ask before exploring human-consequence governance for consequential AI.

> **“When AI output or action enters a consequential human situation, what conditions should govern how it reaches, influences or affects people?”**

H	I	R	E
Humility	**Integrity**	**Respect**	**Empathy**

Public executive resource. The document explains the governance problem, common executive questions and public HIRE positioning. Proprietary implementation details are intentionally not disclosed.

EXECUTIVE ORIENTATION

Where the questions begin

Organizations may already have strong AI policies, technical controls, model testing and human oversight. HIRE focuses on the moment those systems enter a consequential human context.



A central HIRE distinction is that the presence of a human in the loop does not automatically create meaningful oversight. The conditions under which human judgment is formed matter because AI outputs can influence interpretation before a final decision is made.

01 Why HIRE Exists	Questions 1-5
02 Understanding the HIRE Difference	Questions 6-10
03 Where HIRE Fits	Questions 11-15
04 HIRE Review™ Engagement	Questions 16-20
05 Trust, Risk & Commercial Questions	Questions 21-25

01 • EXECUTIVE FAQ

Why HIRE Exists

Questions organizations may ask when considering whether human-consequence governance adds meaningful value to an existing AI governance program.

01

Why do we need another AI governance approach when we already have Responsible AI programs?

You may be wondering: We already have policies, principles, controls and compliance processes. What additional governance question does HIRE address?

Those capabilities remain essential. HIRE adds a focused question: what happens when AI output or action enters a consequential human situation and begins shaping judgment, treatment, access or opportunity?

02

What specific problem does HIRE address?

You may be wondering: What are we actually trying to prevent, understand or improve?

HIRE examines the handoff between AI output, human interpretation, human judgment and human consequence - including situations where an AI action can affect a person directly.

03

Why focus on human judgment instead of only improving the AI model?

You may be wondering: If the model is accurate, why would another governance layer still matter?

Accuracy matters, but people can still be influenced by rankings, summaries, labels, recommendations and confidence signals. HIRE examines the conditions under which those outputs enter consequential judgment.

04

What does HIRE mean by consequential AI?

You may be wondering: Which of our systems would actually fall within scope?

AI becomes consequential when its output or action may materially affect a person's opportunities, rights, treatment, access or wellbeing - for example in employment, healthcare, education, finance, insurance or public services.

05

Why is this important now?

You may be wondering: Why should this become a priority rather than a future concern?

AI is moving from assistance toward recommendation, influence and action. As organizations deploy AI deeper into human workflows, governance increasingly needs evidence about what happens at the point of consequence.

02 • EXECUTIVE FAQ

Understanding the HIRE Difference

Questions that help distinguish HIRE from principles, audits, checklists and conventional human oversight.

06

Is HIRE another AI ethics framework?

You may be wondering: We already have values and Responsible AI principles. How is HIRE different?

The HIRE Principles™ are public governance lenses, but the focus is operational: whether observable AI conduct reflects those principles when people may be affected.

07

Is HIRE just another checklist?

You may be wondering: Could our governance team reproduce this with a questionnaire?

A checklist can confirm that a policy or control exists. HIRE Review™ examines actual or representative AI interactions and the conditions under which they may influence human judgment or consequence.

08

How is HIRE different from an AI audit?

You may be wondering: Why would we need this in addition to assurance or compliance testing?

Audits commonly test requirements, controls, evidence and conformance. HIRE complements that work by examining the human-consequence pathway: how AI behavior reaches, frames or influences consequential situations.

09

How is HIRE different from human oversight?

You may be wondering: We already require a person to approve important decisions. What does HIRE add?

A human can be formally in the loop while still being influenced by AI framing, ranking, confidence or omitted context. HIRE examines the conditions under which oversight is exercised, not only whether a reviewer exists.

10

Does HIRE replace human decision-makers?

You may be wondering: Does HIRE shift responsibility from people to another system?

No. HIRE is designed to support responsible human judgment and preserve meaningful human consideration. Decision authority and accountability remain with the organization and its authorized people.

03 • EXECUTIVE FAQ

Where HIRE Fits

Questions from technical, product and governance teams about scope, architecture and compatibility.

11

Where exactly does HIRE sit in the AI architecture?

You may be wondering: What point in our workflow would this govern?

HIRE focuses on the point where AI output or action is about to enter a consequential human context. In human-mediated decisions, the conceptual pathway is: AI output -> HIRE governance point -> human judgment -> consequence.

12

Does HIRE evaluate the AI model itself?

You may be wondering: Does HIRE replace our model validation or technical testing?

No. HIRE complements model evaluation. Accuracy, robustness, privacy, security and validation remain separate disciplines; HIRE focuses on conduct and consequence at the human-facing or action-facing boundary.

13

Does HIRE require access to our proprietary model?

You may be wondering: Would we need to expose source code, weights or confidential internals?

Not necessarily. A HIRE Review™ can be scoped around anonymized outputs, transcripts, screenshots, workflow examples, synthetic scenarios and relevant documentation.

14

Can HIRE work with different AI vendors and models?

You may be wondering: Would this lock us into one provider or architecture?

HIRE is designed as a model-agnostic governance approach. Its focus is the consequential interaction or action pathway rather than dependence on one foundation model or vendor.

15

Can HIRE apply to generative AI chatbots as well as agentic systems?

You may be wondering: Is HIRE limited to one class of AI?

HIRE can be relevant across several pathways: AI output reviewed by a human decision-maker, direct AI interaction with an affected person, and AI actions that can create human consequences.

04 • EXECUTIVE FAQ

HIRE Review™ Engagement

Questions organizations may ask before agreeing to an initial assessment.

16

What exactly is HIRE Review™?

You may be wondering: What are we purchasing?

HIRE Review™ is a focused assessment of selected consequential AI interactions or scenarios through the HIRE human-consequence governance lens.

17

What does HIRE Review™ examine?

You may be wondering: What are you looking for in the material we provide?

The review examines observable conduct through Humility, Integrity, Respect and Empathy - including uncertainty, evidence alignment, dignity, context, agency and potential human impact.

18

What happens during a HIRE Review™?

You may be wondering: What will the engagement actually involve?

The process is structured around five stages: Define the use case and consequence pathway; Examine selected interactions; Evaluate observable conduct; Deliver findings; Discuss implications and next steps.

19

What inputs would we need to provide?

You may be wondering: How much work will this create for our team?

Inputs depend on scope and may include anonymized outputs, transcripts, screenshots, workflow examples, synthetic scenarios and relevant policy or process documentation.

20

What do we receive at the end?

You may be wondering: What tangible value comes out of the review?

The organization receives structured findings, governance observations, identified areas for stronger controls or conduct, and an executive discussion of the results.

05 • EXECUTIVE FAQ

Trust, Risk & Commercial Questions

Questions that may arise when procurement, legal, risk or senior leadership becomes involved.

21

Will HIRE expose our weaknesses publicly?

You may be wondering: Could participating in a review create reputational risk?

HIRE Review™ is designed as a confidential assessment engagement. Public disclosure is not part of the service unless separately agreed by the organization.

22

Does HIRE certify that an AI system is safe or compliant?

You may be wondering: Can we use the review as a formal certification?

No. HIRE Review™ is an assessment service. It does not replace legal advice, regulatory compliance determinations, security certification or formal assurance.

23

Does HIRE claim that AI systems are inherently unsafe?

You may be wondering: Is the underlying position anti-AI?

No. HIRE recognizes the value of AI. Its purpose is to strengthen governance when AI outputs or actions enter situations where people may experience meaningful consequences.

24

How does HIRE protect its own intellectual property - and ours?

You may be wondering: What is public, what is confidential, and what would we need to disclose?

HIRE publicly explains the governance problem, HIRE Principles™ and high-level assessment approach. Proprietary implementation details remain protected, while client material is handled according to the agreed engagement scope and confidentiality terms.

25

What is the first step if we want to explore HIRE?

You may be wondering: What happens before we commit to an assessment?

The first step is a governance conversation to understand the use case, consequence pathway and fit. Assessment scope and pricing are confirmed after that initial discussion.

CLOSING PERSPECTIVE

What HIRE Review™ is designed to do

HIRE Review™ is a focused, founder-led assessment for consequential AI use cases. It examines selected outputs, interactions or scenarios through the HIRE human-consequence governance lens while protecting proprietary implementation details.

HIRE Review™ can help examine	HIRE Review™ does not replace
• How uncertainty is communicated • Whether evidence and conclusions remain aligned • Whether human dignity, context and agency are preserved • Whether relevant human circumstances and impact are considered • Where stronger governance may be needed	• Legal advice • Regulatory certification • Security testing • Privacy assessment • Model accuracy validation • The organization's own decision authority and accountability

DISCUSS YOUR AI GOVERNANCE CHALLENGE

The first step is a governance conversation.

Assessment scope and pricing are confirmed after an initial discussion.

HIRE Principles™: Humility • Integrity • Respect • Empathy
Positioning: Human-consequence governance for consequential AI.
IP: Public principles and assessment framing are shown here; internal logic, scoring, thresholds, orchestration and confidential runtime mechanisms are not disclosed.